

We do not believe that the Banking industry should be able to circumvent a state's (Wisconsin's) No-Call-List because it is more restrictive than the Federal List. Their request is seen as one possible means to bypass state's rights.

As corporations and banks expand into new geographical markets due to mergers and acquisitions, they still must abide by local and state laws in the United States. No-Call-Lists should be no different. Although it is "easier" for them to operate under one set of rules in all the geographical areas within which they could operate, the decision to operate in a given locale was carefully scrutinized as to profit and margin, plus laws on the books or laws that might be passed in the future. The cost of doing business in a state is based on any action that could be taken by (in this case) the banking industry and/or its members.

We shouldn't have to remind anyone in the Federal branch about the concept of states rights versus Federal. It was embodied in the Constitution and its interpretation over the years. When states can no longer control certain aspects of life within their boundaries, then the well-being of the state's citizens (in this case, privacy) has both been lost and invaded.